Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/823,224	POOL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Craig A. Renner	2627
All Participants:	Status of Application: Per	nding
(1) Craig A. Renner, Primary Examiner.	(3)	
(2) Mitchell K. McCarthy, Attorney For Applicants.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 April 2008	Time: <u>1:36 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: Includes claims 6, 8-12, 22, 23, 25 and 26.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant was informed that claims 8-12 and 26 are no longer withdrawn from consideration. Clarifying language was incorporated into the title and claims 6, 22, 23 and 25 by examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Craig A Renner/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)